### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 074 659 EC 051 460

AUTHOR Hinesley, J. Howard, Comp.

TITLE Comparative Survey of Programs for Exceptional

Children. Survey Report 1971-72.

INSTITUTION Florida State Dept. of Education, Tallahassee.

Education for Exceptional Children Section.

PUB DATE 72 NOTE 12p.

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29

DESCRIPTORS \*Exceptional Child Education; \*Handicapped Children;

Rating Scales; \*Special Classes; \*State Programs;

\*Surveys

### ABSTRACT

Presented is a comparative survey of state programs for exceptional children for eight areas of exceptionality: educable mentally retarded, trainable mentally retarded, speech handicapped, deaf and severely hard of hearing, visually impaired, emotionally disturbed, learning disabled, and gifted. Sixteen state departments of education are reported to have been surveyed: California, New York, Pennsylvania, Texas, Illinois, Ohio, Michigan, New Jersey, Florida, Massachusetts, Indiana, North Carolina, Missouri, Virginia, Georgia, and Wisconsin. Four tables are provided which rank the states according to number of teachers in areas of exceptionality, number of students by exceptionality, percentage of children served, and size of exceptional child state staff. (DB)



## 

### Conparding Juney of Regions for Exceptional

chica

M Lorgest States

دال

77

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION ER<mark>ICALAHASSEE FRORDA</mark>



PAYDER WALLES WARE

A report of a survey - Basic data for this report were compiled by J. Howard Hinesiley, Administrative intern.

Exceptional Child Education

### COMPARATIVE SURVEY OF PROGRAMS FOR EXCEPTIONAL CHILDREN

1971-72

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.
EDUCATION & WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY
REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE CF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Floyd T. Christian, Commissioner

DIVISION OF ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY EDUCATION BUREAU OF CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION EDUCATION FOR EXCEPTIONAL CHILDREN

This public document was promulgated at an annual cost of \$127.62 or \$.26 per copy to compare the relationship of Florida to the sixteen largest states regarding programs for handicapped children in the various areas of exceptionality.



## COMPARATIVE SURVEY OF PROGRAMS FOR EXCEPTIONAL CHILDREN AS REPORTED BY THE STATE DEPARTMENTS OF EDUCATION OF THE SIXTEEN LARGEST STATES

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
TABLE I	
"State Rankings By Number of Teachers In Areas of Exceptionality"	
TABLE II	5
"State Rankings By Number of Students By Exceptionality"	
TABLE III	7
"Rank Order By Percentage of Children Served"	
TABLE IV	8
"State Rankings of Size of Exceptional Child Staff"	



### COMPARATIVE SURVEY OF STATE DEPARTMENTS OF EDUCATION SERVICES

T0

### EXCEPTIONAL CHILDREN

### INTRODUCTION

The information contained in this report is the result of the interest of the Education for Exceptional Child Section of the Florida Department of Education, to compare the relationship of Florida to the sixteen largest states regarding programs for handicapped children in the various areas of exceptionality.

A survey was conducted as to the number of children served and the number of public school teachers employed for each of eleven areas of exceptionality. The figures for eight of the areas are given in this report. The eight areas are:

- Educable mentally retarded,
- 2. Trainable mentally retarded,
- 3. Speech handicapped,
- 4. Deaf and severely hard-of-hearing (including only public school programs),
- 5. Visually-impaired (blind and partially-sighted, including only public school programs),
- 6. Emotionally disturbed,
- 7. Specific learning disabilities, and
- 8. Gifted.

Also reported is data regarding size of staff for exceptional child education in the various state departments of education.

### STATES SURVEYED

Sixteen state departments of education were surveyed. Included were the eight states larger in total population than Florida, which are California, New York, Pennsylvania, Texas, Illinois, Ohio, Michigan, and New Jersey, according to the 1970 estimated population figures. Seven states smaller than Florida were



also surveyed, which include: Massachusetts, Indiana, North Carolina, Missouri, Virginia, Georgia, and Wisconsin.

Each state was asked to report the number of teachers employed in public school programs during the 1971-72 school year. They were asked not to include state residential schools, even though they may be under the jurisdiction of their department. The tables were constructed from the figures for full time teachers reported by the various state departments on the questionnaire form or in annual statistical reports which they sent.

When a particular program for a particular group of exceptional children was not administered by the state department of education, it was reported on the tables as None, even though these children may receive services from another state agency.

### TABLE I

### STATE RANKINGS BY NUMBER OF TEACHERS IN AREAS OF EXCEPTIONALITY

Table I shows the sixteen states ranked by total state population, total school population, and the number of teachers by area of exceptionality.

In comparison with the other fifteen states, Florida ranks lowest in the area of speech handicapped.

Florida is equal to or ahead of its population rank in all areas except speech handicapped.

Florida receives its lowest ranking in the number of state staff.

1"Enrollment in full-time public elementary and secondary day schools, by grade, region, state, and other areas: United States, Fall 1970." Table 5, page 18, Statistics of Public Schools, U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Fall 1970.



TABLE I

# STATE RANKINGS BY NUMBER OF TEACHERS IN AREAS OF EXCEPTIONALITY

	·								,							
GIFTED	111.	Calif. 900	Pa. 703	N. C. 256	F1.	Ga. 82	N. Y. None	Texas	Ohio	Mich. None	N. J. None	Mo.	Va. None	Wisc. None	Mass. None	Ind. None
SLD	Calif. 4,150	111. 894	И. J. 596	Texas 591	0hio 558	Pa. 319	F1.	Mo. 151	Va. 96	Ga. 92	N. C. 80	Wisc. 78	N. Y. None	Mich. None	Mass. None	IndNone
ED	N. Y. 3,250	Mass. 630	Mich. 310	Pa. 305	Texas 289	N. J. 268	F1.	0hio 151	111.	Wisc. 124	Va.	Mo. 92	Ga. 54	Ind.	N. C. 25	Calif. None
VISION	N. Y. 375	Calif. 300	111.	Pa. 136		Ohio  - 82			Mass. 53				1			Vaj4
DEAF	N. Y. 600	Calif. 450	111.	MIch. 330	Ohio   265	Texas 185	Pa.	F1.	Wisc. 109	Mo. 76	Mass. 75	N. J.	<b>Ga.</b> 62	Va. 61	Ind	N. C. 30
SPEECH	Calif. 2,460	N. Y. 1,300	111.	Texas 1,099	Mich. 1,071	Pa. 683	0hio 682	Wisc. 558	N. J. 540	Ind. 466	F1.	Mo. 410	Mass. 371	N. C. 306	Ga. 233	Va. 229
TMR	N. Y. 1,350	Calif. 1,040	Pa. 539	III. 538	Texas 571	Mich. 439	N. J. 357	F1. 323	Mass. 285	N. C. 269	Wisc. 252	Va. 196	Mo. 164	Ind. 14]	Ga. 135	Ohio None
EMR	Ohio 3,405	N. Y. 3,330	Pa. 3,001	Texas 2,964	Calif. 2,850	111. 2,784	Mich. 2,593	N. C. 1,860			Mo. 1,375	N. J. 1,304	Wisc. 1,192	Mass. 1,115	Ind. 1,012	Va. 1,001
SCHOOL POP.	Calif. 4,633,198	N. Y. 3,477,016	Texas 2,839,900	Ohio 2,425,643	Pa. 2,358,100	111. 2,356,636	Mich. 2,180,699	N. J. 1,482,000	F1. 1,427,896	,458				Va. 1,078,754	Mo,039,477	Wisc. 933,736
TOTAL POP.	Calif. 19,715,490	N. Y. 18,018,615	Pa. 11,669,565	Texas 10,997,939	111. 10,977,908	0hio 10,582,030	Mich. 8,778,187	N. J. 7,092,597	F1. 6,671,162	Mass. 5,630,224	Ind. 5,143,422	N. C. 4,968,463	Mö. 4,626,842	Va. 4,543,249	<sup>ga</sup> ,492,038	Wisc. 4,366,766
RANK		2	3	4	.5	9	7	∞	б	10	11	12	13	. 14	15	16



### TABLE II

### STATE RANKINGS BY NUMBER OF STUDENTS BY EXCEPTIONALITY

In Table II the states have been ranked according to the number of children served in each area of exceptionality.

In this table, Florida is lower than its ranking in the areas of educable mentally retarded and speech handicapped. In the other areas Florida is equal to or greater than its ranking.



TABLE II

## STATE RANKINGS BY NUMBER OF STUDENTS BY EXCEPTIONALITY

,	,	ſ	1			<b>=</b> 4		,			1			7	·	
GIETEN	Calif. 136,424	.111.	N. C. 22,657	Pa. 10,937	F1. 10,533	Ga. 6.890	N. Y.	Texas	Ohio None	Mich. None	N. J.	Mo.	Va. None	Wisc. None	Mass. None	Ind. None
	Calif 58,523	Texas 18,525	Mass. 16,854	117. 10,192	N. C. 7,525	F1. 7,138	N. J. 41,667	Ohio 4,435	Pa. 3,925	Va. 2,439	Ga. 1,977	Mo. 1,660	Wisc. 781	N. Y. None	Mich. None	Ind. None
ED	N. Y. 28,000	Texas 6,501	Mich. 5,114	F1. 3,665	Mass. 3,379	Pa 2,306	Va. 2,207	Ga. 2,144	N. J.	N. C.	111.	0hio 1,208	Wisc. 1,156	Mo. 797	Ind. 455	Calif. None
VISTON	Ohio 4,304	N. γ. 3.100	Calif. 2,417	Pa. 2,190	111.	Mich. 1,219	1	F1. 804	N. C. 750	Texas 682	٧a. 409	6a. 395	Wisc. 256	Ind. 180	Mo. 134	
DEAF	N. C. 4,506	Mich. 3.032	N. Y. 3,000	Calif. 2,682	111.	Pa. 2,153	Ohio 1,890	Texas 1,873	F1. 1,077	Va. 956	Wisc. 797	Mo. 628	Ga. 490	Mass. 487	N. J. 458	Ind. 259
SPEECH	N. Y. 119,000	Calif. 112,237	111. 105,743	Mich. 84,999	Texas 82,599	Ohio 78,430	Pa. 62,895	N. J. 57,156		F1. 42,058	1		N. C. 29,613	Wisc. 26,651	Ga. 21,501	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
TMR	N. Y. 13,000	Calif. 11,447	Texas 7,325	Pa. 6,207	Mich. 5,597	111. 5,188	F1. 3,268	N. C. 3,164	N. J. 2,881	Wisc 2,601	Mass. 2,326	Va. 2,268	Mo. 2,220	Ind. 1,736	Ga. 1,449	Ohio None
EMR	N. Y. 5C,000	0hio 48.184	Pa. 45,485	Texas 42,839	Calif. 37,036	MIch. 36,926	N. C. 36,190	31,197	Ga. 26,581	F1. 24,165	Mo. 20,000	Ind. 14,978	N. J. 14,378	Wisc. 14,530	√a. 14,121	Mass. 9,999
SCHOOL POP.	Calif. 4,633,198	N. Y. 3,477,016	Texas 2,839,900	0hio 2,425,643	Pa. 2,358,100	111. 2,346,636	Mich. 2,180,699	N. J. 1,482,000	F1. 1,427,896	Ind. 1,231,458	N. C. 1,192,187	Mass. 1,167,713	Ga. 1,098,901	Va. 1,078,754	Mo. 1,039,477	Wisc. 933,736
TOTAL POP.	Calif. 19,715,490	N. Y. 18,018,615	Pa. 11,669,565	Texas 10,997,939	111. 10,977,908	Ohio 10,582,030	Mich. 8,778,187	N. J. 7,092,597	F1. 6,671,162	Mass. 5,630,224	Ind. 5,143,422	N. C. 4,968,463	Mo. 4,626,842	Va. 4,543,249		Wisc. 4,366,766
RANK	П	2	. 3	4	5	9	7	∞ĺ	6	10		12	13	14	15	16



### TABLE III

### RANK ORDER BY PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN SERVED

In Table III the states are ranked according to the percentage of children served. This ranking was derived by dividing the number of children in a particular area of exceptionality by the total school population.

This table shows that Florida is equal to or above its rank in percentage of children served.

TABLE III

RANK ORDER BY PERCENTAGE OF CHILDREN SERVED

	ı	1	1	ı			1										
	GIFTED	1LL 3.19	Calif. 2.94	N. C.	F1.	Ga.	Pa.	N. Y Non	Te	Ohic Nane	Mich.	N. J. None	Mass. None	Mo. None	Ind.	Va. None	Wisc
	SLD	Mass.	Calif.	N. C.	F1.	Texas .49	ILL.	N. J.	Va.	0¦\1ô' . 18	Ga.	Pa.	Mo.	Wisc.	N. Y.	Mich. None	Ind. None
	ED	N. Y.	Mass.	F1.	Mich. .23	Va.	Ga.	Texas .13	N. C.	Wisc.	N. J.	Pa.	Mo.	05	Ohio .05	Ind.	Calif. None
	VISION	Ohio .18	N. Y.	Pa.	Mass.	ILL.	Mich.	F1.	N. C. .06	Calif.	Va.	Ga.	Wisc. .03	Texas .02	N. J.	Mo.	Ind.
KEN SEKVEL	DEAF	N. C.	Mirt .14	N. Y.	Pa09	ILL.	Va.	Wisc.	F1.	0hio .08	Calif.	Mo	Mass. .04	Ga.	N. J.	Texas .02	Ind.
rencentage of Children Served	SPEECH	111.	Ind. 4.17	Mich. 3.90	N. J. 3.86	Mo. 3.55	N. Y. 3.42	Ohio 3.23	Mass. 3.21	F1. 2.95	Wisc. 2.85	Texas 2.72	Pa. 2.67	N. C. 2.48	Calif. 2.44	Ga.	
DI FENCENIA	TMR	N. Y. .37	Wisc.	N. C.	Pa26	Mich. .26	Calif.			Mo.	Va.	Mass. .20	N. J. .19	Texas .17	Ga.	Ind.	Ohio None
INDIAN ONDER	EMR	N. C. 3.04	Ga. 2.42	0hio 1.99	Pa. 1.93	Mo. 1.92	Mich. 1.69	F1. 1.69	. <u>.</u>	N. Y. 1.44	T <b>e</b> xas 1.38	ILL. 1.32	Va. 1.31	N. J. 1.0	Mass. .86	Calif.	Ind. .12
	SCH00L РОР.	Calif. 4,633,198	N. Y. 3,477,016	Texas 2,839,900	0hio 2,425,643	Pa. 2,358,100	ILL. 2,356,636	Mich. 2,180,699	N. J. 1,482,000	F1. 1,427,896	Ind. 1,231,458	N.C. 1,192,187	Mass. 1,167,713	Ga. 1,098,501	Va. 1,078,754	Mo. 1,039,477	Wisc. 933,736
	TOTAL, POP.	Calif. 19,715,490	N. Y. 18,018,615	P., 11,669,565	Texas 10,997,939	ILL. 10,977,908	Ohio 10,542,030	Mich. 8,778,187	N. J. 7,092,597	F1. 6,671,162	Mass. 5,630,224	Ind. 5,143,422	N. C. 4,968,463	Mo. 4,626,842	Va. 4,543,249		Wisc. 4,366,766
	RANK		2	~	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16



### TABLE IV

### STATE RANKINGS OF SIZE OF EXCEPTIONAL CHILD STAFF

In Table IV the states have been ranked according to the total number of teachers, total number of students served, and the state staff for exceptional children.

In this table Florida is even with or above its ranking of ninth, except in state staff when it is ranked fifteenth out of the sixteen states surveyed.



TABLE IV

STATE RANKINGS OF SIZE OF EXCEPTIONAL CHILD STAFF

STAFF	40	29	25	24	. 22	20	20	19	18	15	15	15	14	14		Mo. 6%
7	<b>∤</b>	Texas	111.	:s :	×. ×.	Pa.	0h io	Mass.	Ind.	Mich.	N. J.	Ga.	٧à.	Wisc.	F1.	Mo.
TOTAL STUDENTS	Calif. 373,575	ILL. 258.960	N. Y. 240,500	Texas 168,740	Mich. 144,111	Ohio 140,541	Pa. 137,887	N. C. 108,217	F1. · 100,342	N. J. 93,507	Mass. 75,536	Ind. 70,481	Mo. 66,130	Ga. 64,636		Wisc. 47,923
TOTAL TEACHERS	N. Y. 13,405	Calif. 13,020	1LL. 10,065	Pa. 6,046	Texas 5,739	Ohio 5,335	Mich. 5,210	F1. 3,431	N. J. 3,349	N. C. 2,870	Mass. 2,602	Mo. 2,350	Ga. 2,315	Ind. 1,762	Va.	W15c. 553
SCHOOL POP.	Calif. 4,633,198	N. Y. 3,477.016	Texas 2,839,900	Ohio 2,425,643	Pa. 2,358,100	111. 2,356,636	Mich. 2,180,699	N. J. 1,482,000	F1. 1,427,896	Ind. 1,231,458	N. C. 1,192,187	Mass. 1,167,713	Ga. 1,098,901	Va. 1,078,754	Mo. 1,039,477	Wisc. 933.736
TOTAL POP.	Calif. 19,715,490	N. Y. 18,018,615	Pa. 11,669,565	Texas 10,997,939	111. 10,977,908	Ohio 10,582,030	Mich. 8,778,187	N. J. 7,092,597		224		N. C. 4,968,463	Mo. 4,626,842		Ga. 4,492,038	766
RANK	1	2	2	7	2	9	7	∞	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16